

Atos Medical Clinical Evidence Series

Primary versus Secondary Puncture

- Comparison of primary and secondary TEP
- Primary puncture and pharyngocutaneous fistulae

The content of the journal articles is the opinion of the article authors and NOT necessarily the opinion of Atos Medical AB and any of its subsidiaries, nor any endorsement by Atos of any of the products. Nothing in this publication should be construed as providing medical or other advice or making a recommendation from Atos Medical AB and is purely for informational purposes. It should not be relied on, in any way, to be used by clinicians as the basis for any decision or action, as to prescription or medical treatment. When making prescribing or treatment decisions, clinicians should always refer to the specific labeling information approved for the country or region of practice

Preface

This document contains a bibliography and summaries of selected publications relating to primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal (TE) puncture. The document is part of a growing, and regularly updated collection of documents, the Atos Medical Clinical Evidence Series, covering various clinical topics related to Atos Medical's areas of expertise. The topics are chosen based on questions that we receive from our customers.

Examples of available topics are:

- Laryngectomy and Reflux
- Primary versus Delayed Voice Prosthesis Fitting

If you would like to receive a list of all currently available topics, if you are interested in any of the topics listed above, or if you have a suggestion for additional topics, please contact your local Atos Medical representative. Due to International Copyright law, we cannot provide full-text publications of the references. If a publication is available online via 'Open Access' the link is provided in the document.

Table of content

Preface.....	2
Table of content	3
Comparison of primary and secondary puncture	4
Luu et al, 2018	6
Panwar A et al 2017	7
Barauna Neto JC et al.....	8
Lewin et al 2017	9
Gitomer et al, 2016.....	10
Moon et al, 2014.....	11
Guttman et al, 2013	12
Cocuzza et al, 2013.....	13
Lorenz et al, 2013	14
Balm et al, 2011.....	15
Sinclair et al, 2011	16
Hutcheson et al, 2011	17
Emerick et al, 2009.....	18
Mastronikolis et al, 2008	19
Boscolo-Rizzo et al, 2008.....	20
Malik et al, 2007	21
Kummer et al, 2006.....	22
Cheng et al, 2006	23
Chone et al, 2005	24
Kao et al, 1994	25
Primary puncture and pharyngocutaneous fistulae	26
Lansaat et al, 2018	28
Chakravarty et al, 2018	30
Serra et al, 2015.....	31
Scotton et al, 2014.....	32
Basheeth et al, 2014.....	33
Johnson et al, 2013.....	34
Dowthwaite et al, 2012.....	35
Emerick et al, 2009.....	36
Boscolo-Rizzo et al, 2008.....	37
Parikh et al, 1998.....	38

Comparison of primary and secondary puncture

Complication rates for primary and secondary procedures are similar^{1,2,3,10,14,17}, even in geriatric patients⁸. Studies have shown that postoperative radiotherapy do not increase the complication rates^{4,18-20}. However, the most serious observed complications seem to occur after secondary puncture due to restricted access to the puncture site¹². An increased risk for pharyngocutaneous fistulae is reported for primary TEP compared to secondary TEP after delayed VP fitting in patients who have undergone chemoradiation¹³, although this finding has been contradicted in other studies (see section 'Primary puncture and Pharyngocutaneous fistulae'). One study showed that enlarged tracheoesophageal puncture/leakage around rates do not significantly differ between primary and secondary puncture procedures¹². Benefits reported for primary puncture are early voice restoration with positive psychological impact^{7,11,13,15,18,20} eliminating the need for a second surgical procedure^{6,10,13,15,18,20}, ease of determining the length of the voice prosthesis needed^{3,7}, increased device life of the voice prosthesis³, decrease of surgical time⁵ and equal or greater likelihood of successful voice restoration^{1,6,8,10-12,14-17}.

Generally, primary puncture is preferred, especially in cases without previous radiation therapy when postlaryngectomy radiation is expected¹⁴. However, one study reported a significantly shorter average prosthesis lifetime after primary TEP than after secondary TEP⁶. Secondary puncture is recommended when the proximal esophagus has been dissected from the trachea^{4,8}.

The publications listed below concern the publications regarding the comparison of primary and secondary puncture that are referenced above. Clicking the link while holding the Ctrl key will take you directly to the summary you are interested in.

¹[Luu et al. Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture for voice rehabilitation in laryngectomy patients: A systematic review. Clin Otolaryngol. 2018 May 16. \[Epub ahead of print\]](#)

²[Panwar A et al. Impact of Primary Tracheoesophageal Puncture on Outcomes after Total Laryngectomy. Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery Aug 2017.](#)

³[Barauna Neto JC et al. Comparison between primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture prosthesis – a systematic review. ORL J. 2017 Jul 29;79\(4\):222-229.](#)

⁴[Lewin et al. Device life of the tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis revisited. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143;\(1\)65-71.](#)

⁵[Gitomer et al. Influence of timing, radiation, and reconstruction on complications and speech outcomes with tracheoesophageal puncture. Head Neck. 2016 Dec; 38\(12\):1765-1771.](#)

⁶[Moon et al. Changing trends of speech outcomes after total laryngectomy in the 21st century: a single-center study. Laryngoscope. 2014 Nov; 124:2508-2512.](#)

⁷[Guttman et al. Post-laryngectomy voice rehabilitation: comparison of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture. IMAJ 2013 Sept; 15:565-567.](#)

- ⁸[Cocuzza et al. Post laryngectomy speech rehabilitation outcome in elderly patients. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2013 May;270\(6\):1879-84.](#)
- ⁹[Lorenz et al. \[A novel puncture instrument: the Provox-Vega® puncture set. Its use in voice prosthesis insertion following laryngectomy\]. \[Article in German\] HNO. 2013 Jan;61\(1\):30-7.](#)
- ¹⁰[Balm et al. The indwelling voice prosthesis for speech rehabilitation after total laryngectomy: a safe approach. Otolaryngol Pol. 2011 Nov-Dec;65\(6\):402-9.](#)
- ¹¹[Sinclair et al. Primary versus delayed tracheoesophageal puncture for laryngopharyngectomy with free flap reconstruction. Laryngoscope. 2011 Jul;121\(7\):1436-40.](#)
- ¹²[Hutcheson et al. Enlarged tracheoesophageal puncture after total laryngectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Head Neck. 2011 Jan;33\(1\):20-30.](#)
- ¹³[Emerick et al. Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage total laryngectomy following chemoradiation. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009 Mar;140\(3\):386-90.](#)
- ¹⁴[Mastronikolis et al. Voice restoration after total laryngectomy using provox 2 \(generation II\) prosthesis. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2008 Sep-Oct;12\(5\):327-30.](#)
- ¹⁵[Boscolo-Rizzo et al. Long-term results with tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis: primary versus secondary TEP. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2008 Jan;265\(1\):73-7.](#)
- ¹⁶[Malik et al. Surgical complications of tracheo-oesophageal puncture and speech valves. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007 Apr;15\(2\):117-22.](#)
- ¹⁷[Kummer et al. \[Prosthetic voice rehabilitation after laryngectomy. Failures and complications after previous radiation therapy\]. \[Article in German\] NO. 2006 Apr;54\(4\):315-22.](#)
- ¹⁸[Cheng et al. Outcomes of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture: a 16-year retrospective analysis. Ear Nose Throat J. 2006 Apr;85\(4\):262, 264-7.](#)
- ¹⁹[Chone et al. Speech rehabilitation after total laryngectomy: long-term results with indwelling voice prosthesis Blom-Singer. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2005 Jul-Aug;71\(4\):504-9.](#)
- ²⁰[Kao et al. The outcome and techniques of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1994 Mar;120\(3\):301-7.](#)

Luu et al, 2018

Title

Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture for voice rehabilitation in laryngectomy patients: A systematic review

Authors

Luu K¹, Chang BA¹, Valenzuela D¹, Anderson D¹.

Affiliation(s)

¹Division of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada.

Journal and year of publication

Clin Otolaryngol. 2018 May 16. [Epub ahead of print]

Type of publication

Systematic review

Introduction

Among voice restoration options following laryngectomy, tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) appears to be the most common. Currently, there is no consensus that exists with regard to the timing of performing TEP and the decision to perform a primary or secondary TEP has mostly been based on physician preference and expert opinion rather than data.

Subjects and Methods

The objective of this study was to compare primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) for voice rehabilitation in laryngectomy patients in terms of success and complication rates. A systematic review of studies in the English literature was conducted for studies that directly compared primary and secondary TEP.

A comprehensive search of MEDLINE (January 1948-15 July 2016), EMBASE (January 1974-15 July 2016) and Web of Science (January 1970-15 July 2016) was performed.

Two authors (KL/BAC) independently reviewed titles and abstracts, read full-text papers, extracted data and assessed quality. Disagreements were resolved via consensus. A third author (DV) resolved disagreements between reviewers when consensus was not possible.

Results

Eleven retrospective clinical cohort studies were included. No randomised controlled trials were identified. Newcastle-Ottawa score for assessment of quality ranged from 5 to 7. Success rate was defined differently across most studies. Two studies found higher success with primary TEP compared to secondary TEP; nine studies found no difference. Voice outcomes were inconsistently measured; no difference between groups was found in 4 studies. Complication rates were divided into TEP site related, infectious and stenosis. No difference between primary and secondary TEP was found in all but one study which showed a higher rate of pharyngocutaneous fistula in the primary TEP group in salvage laryngectomy patients.

Conclusion

There is no robust evidence to suggest that primary TEP is associated with poorer outcomes compared to secondary TEP. A well-designed randomised controlled trial is required to appropriately answer this question.

Panwar A et al 2017

Title

Impact of Primary Tracheoesophageal puncture on outcomes after total laryngectomy

Authors

Panwar A, Militsakh O, Lindau R, Coughlin A, Sayles H, Rieke KR, Lydiatt W, Lydiatt D, Smith R

Affiliation(s)

Department of Head and Neck Surgery, University of Omaha, Nebraska US.

Journal and year of publication

Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery Aug 2017

Type of publication

Retrospective review

Introduction

The objective of the study was to identify differences in postoperative wound complications associated with a primary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) at the time of laryngectomy versus no TEP.

Subjects and Methods

The National Surgical Quality Improvement Program data set for years 2006 to 2012 identified 430 patients who underwent total laryngectomy with or without a primary TEP. Patients who underwent a TEP at the time of laryngectomy (n = 68) were compared with patients who underwent laryngectomy without a TEP (n = 362). Postoperative wound complications and secondary outcomes, including medical complications and length of hospitalization, were compared between the groups.

Results

The incidence of "superficial" and "deep or organ space" surgical site infection, medical complications, return to the operating room, and length of hospitalization were similar between the groups. Patients in the TEP group had a higher overall wound complication rate (relative risk, 2.02; 95% CI = 1.06-3.84; attributable risk, 8.17%; number needed to harm, 12).

Conclusion

Performance of a primary TEP concurrent to total laryngectomy contributed to a small increase in attributable risk for overall wound complications but did not add substantial risk for "superficial" or "deep or organ space" surgical site infection, medical complications, or increased burden for resource utilization. These data may help inform patient choice and physician recommendations for primary laryngeal speech rehabilitation.

Barauna Neto JC et al.

Title

Comparison between Primary and Secondary Tracheoesophageal Puncture Prosthesis: A Systematic Review.

Authors

Barauna Neto JC, Dedivitis RA, Aires FT, Pfann RZ, Matos LL, Cernea CR.

Affiliation(s)

Palmas Oncologic Center, Sao Paolo, Brazil

Journal and year of publication

ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec. 2017 Jul 29;79(4):222-229

Type of publication

Systematic review

Introduction

Since the introduction of tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) and placement of voice prosthesis, this has become the method of choice to achieve speech rehabilitation after total laryngectomy. The objective of the study was to compare the complications and success in speech rehabilitation of patients undergoing rehabilitation after primary and secondary TEP (TEP1 and TEP2) through a systematic review.

Subjects and Methods

The literature survey included research in MedLine, Scielo, Lilacs, Cochrane and Websco until June 2016.

Results

The rate of leakage around the prosthesis was higher in TEP1 (22.5 vs. 6.9%, $p = 0.03$). There were higher rates of wound infection (9.1 vs. 3.9%) and tracheal stenosis (8.5 vs. 4.5%) in the TEP1 group compared to TEP2, however with no statistical significance. The evaluation of speech quality was not possible due to the heterogeneity of the studies

Conclusions

Performance of a primary TEP concurrent to total laryngectomy did not add substantial risk for "superficial" or "deep or organ space" surgical site infection, medical complications, or increased burden for resource utilization.

Lewin et al 2017

Title

Device life of the tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis revisited

Authors

Lewin J, Leah, M, Baumgart BS, Barrow M, Hutcheson K.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Head & Neck Surgery, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Clinic, Houston TX, USA.

Journal and year of publication

JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2017;143;(1)65-71

Type of publication

Retrospective

Introduction

Voice prosthesis life time is a limiting factor of tracheoesophageal voice restoration. Historic data shows that device lifetime is on average 3-6 months but these data are typically from small sample sizes using only 1-2 devices.

Subjects and Methods

390 laryngectomized subjects with primary or secondary TEP who had a VP management between 2003 and 2013.

Results

From the result section, it was observed a significant increase in device lifetime in patients with primary TEP compared to secondary TEP (63 days vs 54 days, $p=0,003$).

Conclusions

Although representing a short difference (less than two weeks), this demonstrates a longer device life time for patients with primary TEP based from a relatively large sample population of 390 laryngectomized patients

Gitomer et al, 2016

Title

Influence of timing, radiation, and reconstruction on complications and speech outcomes with tracheoesophageal puncture.

Authors

Gitomer SA^{1,2}, Hutcheson KA¹, Christianson BL¹, Samuelson MB¹, Barringer DA¹, Roberts DB¹, Hessel AC¹, Weber RS¹, Lewin JS¹, Zafereo ME^{1,2}.

Affiliation(s)

¹Department of Head and Neck Surgery, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, US

²Bobby R. Alford Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, US

Journal and year of publication

Head Neck. 2016 Dec;38(12):1765-1771.

Type of publication

Retrospective

Objective

To determine the impact of radiation, reconstruction, and timing of tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) on complications and speech outcomes.

Methods

Retrospective review identified 145 patients who underwent TEP between 2003 and 2007.

Results

Ninety-nine patients (68%) had primary and 46 (32%) had secondary TEP, with complications occurring in 65% and 61%, respectively ($p = .96$). Twenty-nine patients (20%) had major complications (18 primary and 11 secondary; $p = .42$). Ninety-four patients (65%) had pre-TEP radiation, 39 (27%) post-TEP radiation, and 12 (8%) no radiation. With patients grouped by TEP timing and radiation history, there was no difference in complications, fluency, or TEP use. With mean 4.7-year follow-up, 82% primary and 85% secondary used TEP for primary communication ($p = .66$). Free-flap patients used TEP more commonly for primary communication after secondary versus primary TEP (90% vs 50%; $p = .02$).

Conclusion

Careful selection of patients' candidacy for TEP provided similar TE speech outcomes and complication rates regardless of timing of TEP or radiation. For more complex patients who require extended surgical resection and reconstruction, secondary TEP may be a better option to achieve successful voice restoration because of the opportunity for enhanced pre-TEP testing, education, and selection.

Moon et al, 2014

Title

Changing trends of speech outcomes after total laryngectomy in the 21st century: a single-center study.

Authors

Moon S, Raffa F, Ojo R, Landera MA, Weed DT, Sargi Z, Lundy D.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otolaryngology, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, Florida, U.S.A.

Journal and year of publication

Laryngoscope. 2014 Nov; 124:2508-2512

Type of publication

Retrospective review

Introduction

To describe the speech rehabilitation outcomes of patients undergoing total laryngectomy (TL) in the 21st century.

Subjects and Methods

Retrospective review of 167 patients who underwent TL from June 2000 to February 2012. Demographics, disease variables, and surgical factors were reviewed. Primary alaryngeal speech modality, speech outcome, and tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) complication rates were assessed.

Results

Overall TEP speech success rate (primary or secondary) was 72%, with a success rate of 76% for primary punctures and 68% with secondary TEP. TEP speech success rates at first, second, and beyond second year were 75%, 72%, and 70%, respectively. Success rates for primary TL, salvage TL, primary TL with pharyngeal reconstruction, or salvage TL with pharyngeal reconstruction groups were 71%, 72%, 73%, and 71%, respectively. TEP-related complications occurred in 43% of patients, with no difference in complication rates between primary versus salvage TL or primary versus secondary TEP. For those with complications, TEP success rate was 65%.

Conclusions

This study showed TEP speech-outcome success rates lower than what has been historically reported. There was no significant difference in TEP speech outcome between primary versus salvage TL or primary versus secondary TEP. Patients with TEP-related complications had TEP speech-outcome success rates comparable to those without any complication. TEP may continue to be a superior option as a mode of speech in patients with TL, including those undergoing salvage TL.

Guttman et al, 2013

Title

Post-laryngectomy voice rehabilitation: comparison of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture

Authors

Guttman D¹, Mizrachi A¹, Hadar T¹, Bachar G¹, Hamzani Y¹, Marx S², Shvero J¹.

Affiliation(s)

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Rabin Medical Center (Beilinson Campus), Petah Tikva, affiliated with Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel.

²Institute for Speech, Swallowing and Voice Rehabilitation, Rabin Medical Center (Beilinson Campus), Petah Tikva, affiliated with Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel.

Journal and year of publication

IMAJ 2013 Sept; 15:565-567

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

Voice restoration following total laryngectomy is an important part of patients' rehabilitation and long-term quality of life.

Objective

To evaluate the long-term outcome of indwelling voice prostheses inserted during (primary procedure) or after (secondary procedure) total laryngectomy.

Subjects and Methods

The study group included 90 patients who underwent total laryngectomy and tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) with placement of voice prosthesis at a tertiary medical center during the period 1990-2008. Background, clinical and outcome data were collected by medical file review. Findings were compared between patients in whom TEP was performed as a primary or a secondary procedure.

Results

TEP was performed as a primary procedure in 64 patients and a secondary procedure in 26. Corresponding rates of satisfactory voice rehabilitation were 84.4% and 88.5% respectively. There was no association of voice quality with either receipt of adjuvant radiation/chemoradiation or patient age. The average lifetime of the voice prosthesis was 4.2 months for primary TEP and 9.06 months for secondary TEP (P= 0.025).

Conclusions

Primary TEP provides almost immediate and satisfactory voice rehabilitation. However, it is associated with a significantly shorter average prosthesis lifetime than secondary TEP. Chemoradiotherapy and patient age do not affect voice quality with either procedure.

[Link to open access article](#)

Cocuzza et al, 2013

Title

Post laryngectomy speech rehabilitation outcome in elderly patients.

Authors

Cocuzza S, Bonfiglio M, Grillo C, Maiolino L, Malaguarnera M, Martines F, Serra A.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Medical Surgical Specialties, ENT Clinic, University of Catania, Policlinico Universitario "Gaspare Rodolico", Via Santa Sofia, 68, 95125 Catania, Italy.

Journal and year of publication

Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2013 May;270(6):1879-84

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The aim of this study was to evaluate the different options of tracheoesophageal voice rehabilitation in over 70-year-old patients, who had undergone laryngectomy, assessing advantages and drawbacks of this method of vocal recovery.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective study has been carried out with 40 subjects, all aged more than 70 years old, and who have been referred to tracheoesophageal voice rehabilitation. Primary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) was performed in 18 cases, secondary TEP in 22 cases

Results

The results gathered in these patients were compared with data obtained from a group of 39 patients, less than 70 years of age that therefore represented the control group. In primary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP), the short-term success was 67%, while in the 22 cases that underwent secondary TEP the short-term success was 64%. After 2 years from TEP, the long-term success was 82.5%, with 78% in primary TEP and 86% in secondary TEP. In the control group, the short-term success was 65% in primary TEP and 73% in secondary TEP. After 2 years from TEP, the long-term success was 77%, with 70% in primary TEP and 82% in secondary TEP.

Conclusions

No statistically significant difference was found for incidence of complications during and after surgery ($p > 0.9$), and for overall success ratio of prosthesis implants between the two groups ($p > 0.7$). The possibilities of tracheoesophageal recovery of elderly patients do not show dissimilarities in comparison with the results in younger subjects.

Lorenz et al, 2013

Title

[A novel puncture instrument: the Provox-Vega® puncture set. Its use in voice prosthesis insertion following laryngectomy]. [Article in German]

Authors

Lorenz KJ¹, Hilgers FM, Maier H.

Affiliation

¹Klinik für Hals-Nasen-Ohren-Heilkunde, Kopf-Hals-Chirurgie, Bundeswehrkrankenhaus Ulm, Oberer Eselsberg 40, 89081, Ulm, Deutschland.

Journal and year of publication

HNO. 2013 Jan;61(1):30-7.

Type of publication

Prospective study

Introduction

The use of voice prostheses has been considered the gold standard in voice rehabilitation following laryngectomy for the last 20 years. Insertion is generally performed as a primary procedure during laryngectomy or as a secondary procedure with a re-usable trocar or rigid esophagoscope, a guidewire and anatomic hemostatic forceps. The use of these instruments requires a certain level of experience on the one hand, while on the other use of a trocar and subsequent manipulation with the hemostatic forceps can lead to tissue trauma around the membranous wall or damage to the voice prosthesis. This publication presents the results of a phase I/II study using a novel atraumatic puncture set for primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture with immediate insertion of voice prostheses.

Subjects and Methods

Once patients had been fully informed and given their consent, the Provox-Vega® puncture set was used in 21 patients in either a primary (16) or a secondary (5) procedure. All procedures were documented on video, while approach, complications and surgical success were recorded using a questionnaire.

Results

The average surgical time was 83.5 (± 19.12) seconds for primary puncture with voice prosthesis insertion and 212.57 (± 93.03) seconds in secondary procedures. The prosthesis could be inserted without complication in 19 patients, while a longer prosthesis needed to be selected intraoperatively in two patients due to a thick membranous wall. No serious complications were observed. One patient incurred a discrete injury to the mucosa of the esophageal posterior wall.

Conclusion

The Provox-Vega® Puncture Set proved itself to be a safe aid in the insertion of voice prostheses. It is significantly easier to use than other systems and tissue trauma is minimal. This new puncture system is easy to learn and, in most cases, no further instruments were required. Compared to the conventional method, it was preferred by all surgeons. The Provox-Vega Puncture Set could increase the acceptance of prosthetic voice rehabilitation after laryngectomy and make this procedure of voice rehabilitation available to more patients.

Balm et al, 2011

Title

The indwelling voice prosthesis for speech rehabilitation after total laryngectomy: a safe approach.

Authors

Balm AJ, van den Brekel MW, Tan IB, Hilgers FJ.

Affiliation(s)

The Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Journal and year of publication

Otolaryngol Pol. 2011 Nov-Dec;65(6):402-9

Type of publication

Editorial article

Summary

This editorial article provides an overview of the current status of comprehensive rehabilitation after total laryngectomy and the current methods of choice.

A section on methods of choice regarding the timing of the tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) and placement of the voice prosthesis highlights the preferences for primary TEP with immediate placement of the voice prosthesis. The advantages of this approach that are mentioned are avoiding a second surgical procedure and better estimate of the length of the voice prosthesis needed, which is almost always 8 mm.

The authors only recommend secondary TEP when the proximal esophagus has been dissected of the trachea. In that case the method of choice is to wait 4 to 5 weeks to allow healing and then create the secondary puncture, preferably prior to the start of radiotherapy.

Sinclair et al, 2011

Title

Primary versus delayed tracheoesophageal puncture for laryngopharyngectomy with free flap reconstruction.

Authors

Sinclair CF, Rosenthal EL, McColloch NL, Magnuson JS, Desmond RA, Peters GE, Carroll WR.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Surgery, Division of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35294-0012, USA.

Journal and year of publication

Laryngoscope. 2011 Jul;121(7):1436-40.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

To determine whether postoperative complication rates and speech outcomes differ between patients undergoing primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture following total laryngectomy with free flap reconstruction.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective clinical study in a tertiary academic center was made. Between November 2004 and June 2010, 137 patients underwent total laryngectomy or laryngopharyngectomy with pharyngeal free flap reconstruction for malignant disease. Data was collected on patient and operative demographics, early postoperative complications, speech outcomes, and predictive factors for tracheoesophageal puncture failure.

Results

Thirty patients (22%) had a primary tracheoesophageal puncture performed at the time of laryngectomy, 27 patients (20%) received secondary punctures (>3 months postlaryngectomy), and 80 patients (58%) never received a puncture. In both the primary and the secondary puncture group, the voice prosthesis was fitted secondarily with a median time of 4 weeks after the puncture. Median time to voice acquisition was 56 days for the primary TEP group and 200 days for the secondary group. Patient and operative demographics were similar between groups ($P < .05$), apart from proportionately more hypopharyngeal tumors in the "no puncture" group ($P < .002$). Similar numbers of patients in primary and secondary puncture groups achieved intelligible speech (67% vs. 71%, $P = .82$) and both groups reported good patient-perceived voice-related quality of life. Salvage surgery and non-patch radial forearm free flap reconstruction both trended toward increased early postoperative complication rates ($P = .09$).

Conclusions

There is no difference in the early postoperative complication rate for primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture following total laryngectomy with concurrent free flap reconstruction. The advantage of primary puncture appears to be earlier voice restoration.

Hutcheson et al, 2011

Title

Enlarged tracheoesophageal puncture after total laryngectomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Authors

Hutcheson KA, Lewin JS, Sturgis EM, Kapadia A, Risser J.

Affiliation(s)

Dept of Head and Neck Surgery, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Journal and year of publication

Head & Neck 2011 Jan; 33:20-30.

Type of publication

Systematic review and meta-analysis

Introduction

Enlargement of the tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) is a challenging complication after laryngectomy with TEP. The aim of the analysis was to estimate the rate of enlarged puncture, associated pneumonia rates, potential risk factors, and conservative treatments excluding complete surgical TEP closure.

Methods

A systematic review was conducted (1978-2008). A summary risk estimate was calculated using a random-effects meta-analysis model.

Results

Twenty-seven peer-reviewed manuscripts were included. The rate of enlarged puncture and/or leakage around the prosthesis was reported in 23 articles (range, 1% to 29%; summary risk estimate, 7.2%; 95% confidence interval [CI], 4.8% to 9.6%). Temporary removal of the prosthesis and TEP-site injections were the most commonly reported conservative treatments. Specifically, with regards to timing of the TEP as a primary or secondary procedure, results showed that excluding 1 outlier, rates of enlarged TEP/leakage around the VP were similar ($p = .297$) among cohorts exclusively treated with primary TEP (range, 2% to 13%; median, 4%) compared with those exclusively treated with secondary TEP (range, 5% to 13%; median, 11%).

Conclusions

Rates of enlarged TEP/leakage around the VP showed a non-significant difference between primary puncture (median 4%) and secondary puncture (median 11%). The overall risk of enlarged puncture seems relatively low, but it remains a rehabilitative challenge. Future research should clearly establish risk factors for enlarged puncture and optimal conservative management.

[Link to open access article](#)

Emerick et al, 2009

Title

Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage total laryngectomy following chemoradiation.

Authors

Emerick KS, Tomycz L, Bradford CR, Lyden TH, Chepeha DB, Wolf GT, Teknos TN.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Journal and year of publication

Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009 Mar;140(3):386-90.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

To compare the rate of postoperative wound-healing complications and voice fluency in primary vs secondary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) following chemoradiation.

Subjects and Methods

Between 1998 and 2005, 30 patients underwent laryngectomy after chemoradiation therapy. Twenty patients underwent primary TEP and 10 patients underwent secondary TEP. In the primary TEP group the voice prosthesis was fitted 3-4 weeks after the procedure, in the secondary TEP group 1-2 weeks after the puncture. The decision to perform a primary or secondary procedure was exclusively decided by the operating surgeon. Comorbidities, postoperative complications, speech fluency, and time to speech fluency were evaluated in each patient.

Results

Pharyngocutaneous fistula (PCF) occurred in 10 of 20 (50%) patients who underwent primary TEP and in 0 of 10 (0%) patients in the secondary TEP group ($P < 0.05$). Overall, 25 of 25 (100%) patients who had placement of a tracheoesophageal prosthesis achieved fluent speech. Median time to fluency was 63 days in the primary TEP group and 125 days in the secondary TEP group.

Conclusions

The authors concluded that there is an increased risk of PCF in patients undergoing primary TEP compared with secondary TEP (both with secondary fitting) following chemoradiation. No difference in acquisition of speech fluency was identified between the two groups. Patients undergoing primary TEP achieved fluent speech 62 days sooner than their secondary TEP counterparts.

Mastronikolis et al, 2008

Title

Voice restoration after total laryngectomy using Provox 2 (generation II) prosthesis.

Authors

Mastronikolis NS, Stathas T, Papadas TA, Spyropoulos K, Naxakis S, Goumas PD.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University Hospital of Patras, School of Medicine, Patras, Greece.

Journal and year of publication

Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2008 Sep-Oct;12(5):327-30.

Type of publication

Prospective study

Introduction

Voice restoration after total laryngectomy is of outmost importance for patient and a therapeutic challenge for the surgeon and speech pathologist. Among various rehabilitation methods prosthetic voice yields nowadays the best results. This article describes the results of prosthetic voice rehabilitation in Greece.

Subjects and Methods

A total of 12 patients underwent laryngectomy between February 2006 and May 2007. All patients had advanced laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Eight patients had primary voice prosthesis inserted and four patients had a tracheo-esophageal puncture (TEP) carried out as a secondary procedure. In all cases a Provox2 voice prosthesis was fitted immediately after the puncture was created. All patients received a cricopharyngeal myotomy at the time of the total laryngectomy.

Results

The majority of the patients (80%) developed good and intelligible speech using the voice prosthesis. No significant difference in quality of speech was found between the primary and secondary puncture subgroups. In the primary TEP group 87.5% of the patients rated their speech as good or excellent, in the secondary TEP group 75% rated their speech as good or excellent.

Conclusions

The authors conclude that TEP puncture and prosthesis insertion is a relatively simple, safe and effective surgical procedure for voice restoration after laryngectomy.

Boscolo-Rizzo et al, 2008

Title

Long-term results with tracheoesophageal voice prosthesis: primary versus secondary TEP.

Authors

Boscolo-Rizzo P, Zanetti F, Carpené S, Da Mosto MC.

Affiliation(s)

ENT Department and Regional Center for Head and Neck Cancer, University of Padua-School of Medicine, Treviso Regional Hospital, Treviso, Italy

Journal and year of publication

Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2008 Jan;265(1):73-7.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of timing of tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) with indwelling voice prosthesis insertion on long-term success rates and postoperative complications.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective chart review was conducted in 93 laryngectomized patients with a follow-up longer than 2 years. All patients underwent immediate retrograde insertion of the voice prosthesis at the time of the puncture procedure. The Harrison-Robillard-Schultz rating scale was used as an outcome measure to evaluate TE speech; 'success' was defined as a score of 11 or higher on this scale covering Use, Quality and Care of TE speech and voice prosthesis. Variables taken into account were postoperative radiotherapy, age and primary versus secondary TE procedure.

Results

There were 75 patients with primary TEP (80.6%) and 18 with secondary TEP (19.3%). Long-term success rate was 81.7%, with 80.0% in primary TEP and 88.9% in secondary TEP. No significant differences in success scores were observed between patients with primary and secondary TEP ($P=0.596$). The overall complication rate was 20.3% in the primary group and 16.7% in the secondary group. This difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.99$).

Conclusions

The authors conclude that their findings suggest that primary and secondary TEP are equally safe and effective procedures. They suggest that primary TEP should be preferred because of avoiding a second surgical intervention and allowing early voice restoration with a considerable psychological impact.

Malik et al, 2007

Title

Surgical complications of tracheo-oesophageal puncture and speech valves.

Authors

Malik T, Bruce I, Cherry J.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Royal Blackburn Hospital, Blackburn, UK.

Journal and year of publication

Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2007 Apr;15(2):117-22.

Type of publication

Literature Review

Purpose of Review

Speech rehabilitation following total laryngectomy is central to future quality of life. Although other options exist, surgical voice restoration has emerged as the 'gold standard' management strategy in the majority of laryngectomees. The purpose of this review is to provide a comprehensive review of this subject, with particular reference to technique, complications and outcome.

Primary versus secondary puncture

One of the sections of this review concerns reported complications (mostly case reports) after secondary puncture. Another section reviews primary versus secondary TE puncture literature. Based on their review, the author state that, bearing in mind the ease of primary puncture, its success rate and the incidence of major complications associated with secondary TEP, they find the case for primary puncture compelling.

Conclusions

The authors conclude that the positive impact of surgical voice restoration on quality of life in the alaryngeal patient considerably outweighs the complications commonly associated with the procedure. Greater knowledge of the potential problems should continue to reduce the complication rate. The most serious complications seem to occur following secondary TEP, due to restricted access to the TE puncture site. Primary puncture, in a patient selected and subsequently managed in a multidisciplinary environment, would appear to provide the best outcome for the patient.

Kummer et al, 2006

Title

[Prosthetic voice rehabilitation after laryngectomy. Failures and complications after previous radiation therapy]. [Article in German]

Authors

Kummer P¹, Chahoud M, Schuster M, Eysholdt U, Rosanowski F.

Affiliation(s)

¹Abteilung für Phoniatrie und Pädaudiologie, Universitätsklinikum Erlangen. Germany

Journal and year of publication

HNO. 2006 Apr;54(4):315-22.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

Indwelling voice prostheses are state of the art for post-laryngectomy voice rehabilitation. The aim of this study was to identify the impact of radiation prior to tracheoesophageal puncture on success rate and complications.

Subjects and Methods

There were 145 patients who had undergone prosthetic voice restoration between 1990 and 2002 (Provox and Provox2). Risks of functional failure and complications in 17 patients with previous radiation therapy were compared to those of 128 patients without such therapy.

Results

Previous radiation increased not only the risk of functional failure by 2.9 (P=0.023), but also the risk of shunt-related complications such as aspiration around the prosthesis (1.51; P=0.046), widening of the shunt (2.32; P=0.014), esophageal (2.51; P=0.013) or tracheal (3.29; P=0.0023) dislocation of the prosthesis and spontaneous (2.51; P=0.047) or surgical closure (3.76; P=0.037) of the shunt.

Conclusions

Primary tracheoesophageal puncture during laryngectomy is recommended in cases without previous radiation therapy, especially when post-laryngectomy radiation is likely. In patients with previous radiation therapy, generally good success rates decrease, however, without absolute contraindication of tracheoesophageal puncture. These results may affect salvage surgery concepts.

Cheng et al, 2006

Title

Outcomes of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture: a 16-year retrospective analysis.

Authors

Cheng E, Ho M, Ganz C, Shaha A, Boyle JO, Singh B, Wong RJ, Patel S, Shah J, Branski RC, Kraus DH.

Affiliation(s)

Head and Neck Service, Department of Surgery, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York City, NY 10021, USA

Journal and year of publication

Ear Nose Throat J. 2006 Apr;85(4):262, 264-7.

Type of publication

Retrospective case review

Introduction

A 16-year retrospective analysis was conducted to investigate outcomes of primary and secondary puncture.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective chart review was conducted for 68 patients who had undergone total laryngectomy and tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) over a 16-year period. Fifty-one patients underwent primary TEP and 17 underwent secondary TEP. Outcomes that were reviewed were voice quality and complications. Variables taken into consideration were timing of puncture and radiotherapy.

Results

Nearly 80% of patients who received primary TEP achieved excellent voice quality ratings. In contrast, only 50% of secondary TEP patients achieved excellent voice ratings. This difference was statistically significant ($p = 0.03$). Although both surgical and prosthesis-related complications occurred more frequently following primary TEP, the complication rates did not differ significantly. Neither pre- nor postoperative radiotherapy had any effect on voice restoration or complication rates.

Conclusions

The authors conclude that based on their data, primary TEP may be preferable for several reasons, including a greater likelihood of successful voice restoration, a shorter duration of postoperative aphonia, and the elimination of the need for a second operation and interim tube feedings.

Chone et al, 2005

Title

Speech rehabilitation after total laryngectomy: long-term results with indwelling voice prosthesis Blom-Singer.

Authors

Chone CT, Spina AL, Crespo AN, Gripp FM.

Affiliation(s)

Faculdade de Ciências Médicas, Universidade Estadual de Campinas. Brazil

Journal and year of publication

Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2005 Jul-Aug;71(4):504-9.

*Also published as: Chone CT, Gripp FM, Spina AL, Crespo AN. Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture for speech rehabilitation in total laryngectomy: long-term results with indwelling voice prosthesis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2005 Jul;133(1):89-93.

Type of publication

Prospective study

Introduction

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of time of performance of tracheo-esophageal puncture (TEP), use of radiotherapy (XRT), age of patients, length of follow-up, and the rate of success of use of Blom-Singer VP.

Subjects and Methods

Seventy-one patients were submitted to TL and rehabilitated with indwelling VP between January 1995 and September 2001. Both otolaryngologist and speech pathologist evaluated all patients for the vocal functional issues during the follow-up. The relative data on time of placement of VP, time of use of VP, use of XRT, age, length of follow-up and interval of duration of each VP were recorded during the follow-up. All patients underwent secondary fitting of the voice prosthesis. A catheter was placed in the TE puncture initially. In the primary puncture group the VP was fitted after 14 days and in the secondary group after 3 days.

Results

Sixty-two patients (87%) were submitted to primary TEP and nine patients (13%) to secondary TEP. The follow-up varied from 12 to 87 months, with average of 38 months for primary and 51 months for secondary TEP. There were 59% of patients submitted to XRT. The general rate of success was of 94%. In primary TEP it was of 97% and in the secondary, it was 78% ($p=0.07$) and after two years, the success rate was of 96% in primary TEP and 75% in secondary TEP ($p=0.07$). The use of XRT and patient age did not influence the success of use of VP among primary and secondary TEP, independently of length of follow-up.

Conclusions

The success rate of vocal rehabilitation was significant higher after TL with primary TEP (97%), compared to secondary TEP (78%). Postoperative radiotherapy and age did not influence success rate.

Kao et al, 1994

Title

The outcome and techniques of primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture.

Authors

Kao WW, Mohr RM, Kimmel CA, Getch C, Silverman C.

Affiliation(s)

Dept of Otorhinolaryngology&Bronchoesophagology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA.

Journal and year of publication

Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 1994 Mar;120(3):301-7.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The aim of the study was to evaluate the outcome of primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP), in particular the effects of preoperative and postoperative radiotherapy on success and complication rates in primary TEPs, and to highlight modified surgical and management techniques.

Subjects and Methods

One hundred six consecutive patients underwent primary TEPs and 30 underwent secondary TEPs over a period of 8 years with follow-ups ranging from 6 months to 8.5 years. A catheter was placed at the time of TEP and the voice prosthesis was fitted secondary. The group given primary TEP also includes 19 patients who received salvage laryngectomy after radiotherapy treatment and 75 who received full-course postoperative radiotherapy. Speech measures included (1) voice intensity, (2) pitch of speech, (3) duration of sustained phonation, and (4) rate of speech.

Results

A success rate of 93% was achieved in the group of patients given primary TEP regardless of radiotherapy. An 83% success rate was achieved with patients given secondary TEP. There were no major complications related to TEPs.

Conclusions

Primary TEP for patients requiring total laryngectomy is highly recommended since a second operative procedure can be avoided and speech obtained rapidly. Postoperative radiotherapy does not increase the complication rate of TEP.

Primary puncture and pharyngocutaneous fistulae

Pharyngocutaneous fistula is the most serious common complication after laryngectomy². The incidence reported in literature varies widely, ranging from 2.6 to 65.5%¹. A retrospective study of both primary and secondary TEP patients (n=95) demonstrated pharyngocutaneous fistula to be the most common postoperative laryngectomy complication (90% of the complications)³. However, the evidence on associations between the timing of TE puncture and development of a pharyngocutaneous fistula is contradictory². Primary TEP has not been associated with the development of pharyngocutaneous fistulae in three large series of patients^{5,7,10}, and two smaller studies^{4,9}. In two smaller series of patients undergoing salvage surgery after chemoradiation, primary puncture was associated with an increased risk for pharyngocutaneous fistulae^{6,8}. In a recent study, secondary TEP and no TEP were identified as significant factors predictive for pharyngocutaneous fistulae compared to primary TEP. Early oral intake did not influence the PCF rate.¹ Several factors may play a role in the development of PCF, such as time interval between pre-operative (chemo) radiotherapy^{1,2,4,5}, performance of selective or radical neck dissection^{1,5}, previous tracheotomy¹, BMI<18¹ and comorbidities⁹. Overall, pharyngocutaneous fistula remains an unpredictable complication^{1,2,10}.

The publications listed below concern the publications regarding primary puncture and pharyngocutaneous fistulae that are referenced above. Clicking the link while holding the Ctrl key will take you directly to the summary you are interested in.

¹[Lansaat et al. Predictive factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulization after total laryngectomy: a Dutch Head and Neck Society audit. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2018 Mar;275\(3\):783-794.](#)

²[Chakravarty et al. Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Laryngol Otol. 2018 Jan;132\(1\):14-21.](#)

³[Serra et al. Post-laryngectomy voice rehabilitation with voice prosthesis: 15 years experience of the ENT Clinic of University of Catania. Retrospective data analysis and literature review. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2015 Dec; 35\(6\): 412-419.](#)

⁴[Scotton et al. Time interval between primary radiotherapy and salvage laryngectomy: a predictor of pharyngocutaneous fistula formation. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2014 Aug;271\(8\):2277-83.](#)

⁵[Basheeth et al. Pharyngocutaneous fistula after salvage laryngectomy: impact of interval between radiotherapy and surgery, and performance of bilateral neck dissection. Head Neck. 2014 Apr;36\(4\):580-4.](#)

⁶[Johnson et al. Primary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage laryngectomy patients. Laryngoscope. 2013 May;123\(5\):1227-30.](#)

⁷[Dowthwaite et al. Postlaryngectomy pharyngocutaneous fistula: determining the risk of preoperative tracheostomy and primary tracheoesophageal puncture. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2012 Jun 1;41\(3\):169-75.](#)

⁸[Emerick et al. Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage total laryngectomy following chemoradiation. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009 Mar;140\(3\):386-90.](#)

⁹[Boscolo-Rizzo et al. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2008 Aug;265\(8\):929-36.](#)

¹⁰[Parikh et al. Pharyngocutaneous fistulae in laryngectomy patients: the Toronto Hospital experience. J Otolaryngol. 1998 Jun;27\(3\):136-40.](#)

Lansaat et al, 2018

Title

Predictive factors for pharyngocutaneous fistulization after total laryngectomy: a Dutch Head and Neck Society audit.

Authors

Lansaat L¹, van der Noort V², Bernard SE³, Eerenstein SEJ⁴, Plaats BEC⁵, Langeveld TAPM⁶, Lacko M⁷, Hilgers FJM^{1,8,9}, de Bree R¹⁰, Takes RP¹¹, van den Brekel MWM^{12,13,14}; Dutch Head and Neck Society.

Affiliation

¹Department of Head and Neck Oncology and Surgery, The Netherlands Cancer Institute-Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Department of Biometrics, The Netherlands Cancer Institute-Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

⁵Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

⁶Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands

⁷Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, The Netherlands.

⁸Department of Oral-Maxillofacial Surgery, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁹Institute of Phonetic Sciences (ACLIC), University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

¹⁰Department of Head and Neck Surgical Oncology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

¹¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

¹²Department of Head and Neck Oncology and Surgery, The Netherlands Cancer Institute-Antoni van Leeuwenhoek, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

¹³Department of Oral-Maxillofacial Surgery, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. m.vd.brekel@nki.nl

¹⁴Institute of Phonetic Sciences (ACLIC), University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Department of Medical Science, Advanced Surgery ENT, University of Catania, Italy

Journal and year of publication

Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2018 Mar;275(3):783-794..

Type of publication

Retrospective chart review

Introduction

Incidences of pharyngocutaneous fistulization (PCF) after total laryngectomy (TL) reported in the literature vary widely, ranging from 2.6 to 65.5%. Comparison between different centers might identify risk factors, but also might enable improvements in quality of care. To enable this on a national level, an audit in the 8 principle Dutch Head and Neck Centers (DHNC) was initiated.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective chart review of all 324 patients undergoing laryngectomy in a 2-year (2012 and 2013) period was performed. Overall PCF%, PCF% per center and factors predictive for PCF were identified. Furthermore, a prognostic model predicting the PCF% per center was developed. To

provide additional data, a survey among the head and neck surgeons of the participating centers was carried out.

Results

Overall PCF% was 25.9. The multivariable prediction model revealed that previous treatment with (chemo)radiotherapy in combination with a long interval between primary treatment and TL, previous tracheotomy, near total pharyngectomy, neck dissection, and BMI < 18 were the best predictors for PCF. Also secondary TEP and no TEP were identified as significant factors predictive for pharyngocutaneous fistulae compared to primary TEP (OR 3.83; 95% CI 1.58-9.31; $P=0.003$, and OR 3.63; 95% CI 1.78-7.39; $P<0.001$ respectively. Early oral intake did not influence PCF rate. PCF% varied quite widely between centers, but for a large extent this could be explained with the prediction model. PCF performance rate (difference between the PCF% and the predicted PCF%) per DHNC, though, shows that not all differences are explained by factors established in the prediction model. However, these factors explain enough of the differences that, compensating for these factors, hospital is no longer independently predictive for PCF.

Conclusions

This nationwide audit has provided valid comparative PCF data confirming the known risk factors from the literature which are important for counseling on PCF risks. Data show that variations in PCF% in the DHNCs (in part) are explainable by the variations in these predictive factors. Since elective neck dissection is a major risk factor for PCF, it only should be performed on well funded indication.

Chakravarty et al, 2018

Title

Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture: systematic review and meta-analysis.

Authors

Chakravarty PD¹, McMurrin AEL², Banigo A³, Shakeel M³, Ah-See KW³.

Affiliation

¹Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow

²Department of Otolaryngology, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee

³Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Scotland, UK

Journal and year of publication

J Laryngol Otol. 2018 Jan;132(1):14-21.

Type of publication

Systematic review

Introduction

Tracheoesophageal puncture represents the 'gold standard' for voice restoration following laryngectomy. Tracheoesophageal puncture can be undertaken primarily during laryngectomy or in a separate secondary procedure. There is no current consensus on which approach is superior. The current evidence comparing primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture was assessed.

Subjects and Methods

A systematic review and meta-analysis of articles comparing outcomes for primary and secondary tracheoesophageal puncture after laryngectomy were conducted. Outcome measures were: voice success, overall complication rate and pharyngocutaneous fistula rate.

Results

Eleven case series met the inclusion criteria, two prospective and nine retrospective. Meta-analysis did not demonstrate statistically significant differences in overall complication rate or voice outcomes, though it suggested a significantly increased risk of pharyngocutaneous fistula in primary compared to secondary tracheoesophageal puncture.

Conclusions

Primary tracheoesophageal puncture is a safe and efficient approach for voice rehabilitation. However, secondary tracheoesophageal puncture should be preferred where there is a higher risk of pharyngocutaneous fistula.

Serra et al, 2015

Title

Post-laryngectomy voice rehabilitation with voice prosthesis: 15 years experience of the ENT Clinic of University of Catania. Retrospective data analysis and literature review.

Authors

Serra A, Di Mauro P, Spataro D, Maiolino L, and Cocuzza, S.

Affiliation

Department of Medical Science, Advanced Surgery ENT, University of Catania, Italy

Journal and year of publication

Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2015 Dec; 35(6): 412–419.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The objective of this study is to report our 15-year experience, in Sicily, with the use of voice prostheses following total laryngectomy, analysing the variables that have influenced the success or failure of speech rehabilitation.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective study was carried out by examining the clinical outcomes of 15 years of experience (1998-2013) in trachea-oesophageal voice rehabilitation, during which period 95 patients with laryngeal cancer were subjected to TEP with vocal prosthesis. The following variables were analysed: age, type of tumor, type of surgery, use of prior radiation therapy, type of puncture, prosthesis used and its duration, number of replacements, complications and causes for prosthetic success or failure.

Results

The rate of postoperative laryngectomy complications was 13%, and the most common were pharyngocutaneous fistulas in 90% of cases, followed by bleeding in 5% and medical complications in another 5%. The presence of postoperative complications did not have an overall significant impact on failure of TEP ($P=0.716$).

Conclusions

Retrospective analysis of 15 years of prosthetic rehabilitation in the Sicilian territory highlighted standard rehabilitation almost identical to those found in the recent literature in terms of intra- and postoperative complications, fistula-related pathologies and overall success.

Scotton et al, 2014

Title

Time interval between primary radiotherapy and salvage laryngectomy: a predictor of pharyngocutaneous fistula formation.

Authors

Scotton WJ¹, Nixon IJ, Pezier TF, Cobb R, Joshi A, Urbano TG, Oakley R, Jeannon JP, Simo RS.

Affiliation

¹Academic Neurosciences Foundation Programme, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK

Journal and year of publication

Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2014 Aug;271 (8):2277-83.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

Salvage laryngectomy (SL) is associated with high levels of morbidity. Rates of pharyngocutaneous fistulae (PCF) are as high as 35 % in some series. Patients at highest risk of such complications may be candidates for altered surgical management in terms of additional tissue transfer, or delayed tracheoesophageal puncture. This study investigates the relationship between the time from primary radiotherapy (RT) to salvage surgery and the development of PCF.

Subjects and Methods

Twenty-six consecutive patients who underwent SL between 2000 and 2010 were identified from the institutional database. Demographics, staging, treatment and complication data were collected.

Results

At time of salvage surgery overall stage was II in 2 patients, III in 7 patients and IV in 17 patients. The mean age was 61 years, and 24 were male. A total of 15 of the 26 patients (58 %) developed a PCF. On analysis of the time between pre-operative RT and surgery, a significant difference was seen, with a mean time of 19.5 months in those who developed a PCF versus 47.0 months in those who did not ($p = 0.02$). Patient characteristics, treatment, and pathology results were comparable between the two groups. There was no significant difference in distribution of the other covariates between the PCF and non-PCF groups.

Conclusions

This study found a significant association between PCF formation and a short time interval from primary RT to salvage laryngectomy. Identifying factors associated with higher rates of post-operative morbidity allows surgeons to adapt surgical planning in an attempt to minimize rates of PCF.

Basheeth et al, 2014

Title

Pharyngocutaneous fistula after salvage laryngectomy: impact of interval between radiotherapy and surgery, and performance of bilateral neck dissection.

Authors

Basheeth N¹, O'Leary G, Sheahan P.

Affiliation

¹Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, South Infirmity Victoria University Hospital, Cork, Ireland.

Journal and year of publication

Head Neck. 2014 Apr;36(4):580-4.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

Pharyngocutaneous fistula is a serious complication of total laryngectomy. The purpose of this study was to examine predisposing factors at our institution.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective review was conducted of 94 consecutive patients undergoing total laryngectomy between 1996 and 2012. Cases with hypopharyngeal primary tumors or undergoing extended hypopharyngeal resection with flap augmentation of the pharynx were excluded. Most cases had a primary tracheoesophageal puncture and a red rubber catheter or feeding tube was inserted.

Results

Seventy-four cases met the inclusion criteria. A total of 25.7% patients developed a pharyngocutaneous fistula. The fistula rate was higher after salvage laryngectomy than after primary surgery (34.0% vs 11.1%; $p = .05$). Among salvage laryngectomies, performance of laryngectomy within 1 year of completion of radiotherapy ($p = .006$) and performance of concomitant bilateral neck dissection ($p = .02$) were significant risk factors for development of a fistula. Radiation dose, addition of chemotherapy, use of pectoralis major myofascial flap, preoperative tracheostomy, primary puncture, primary tumor subsite, and initial T classification were not significant.

Conclusions

The incidence of pharyngocutaneous fistula is greater after salvage total laryngectomy compared to primary laryngectomy. The time interval between completion of radiotherapy and surgery, and performance of concomitant bilateral neck dissection are significantly associated with pharyngocutaneous fistula after salvage total laryngectomy.

Johnson et al, 2013

Title

Primary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage laryngectomy patients.

Authors

Johnson A¹, Grammer T, Medina J.

Affiliation

¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104, USA.

Journal and year of publication

Laryngoscope. 2013 May;123(5):1227-30.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to determine the rate of complications in the immediate postoperative period following primary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) after salvage laryngectomy.

Subjects and Methods

A retrospective review was done of 26 patients who underwent primary TEP with salvage total laryngectomy, between 2000 and 2010. To minimize variables, the patients included were operated on by a single surgeon and rehabilitated by a single speech-language pathologist. The outcomes evaluated were the frequency of leakage around the TEP, dehiscence of the stoma, dislodging of the prosthesis, pharyngocutaneous fistula, time to initiation of oral intake, and length of hospital stay.

Results

The mean age of the patients was 60.8 years (range, 41-78 years). Previous treatment consisted of radiotherapy in 14 patients and chemotherapy and radiation in 12. Tumor site was glottic in 12 patients, supraglottic in seven, subglottic in two, vallecula in three, and overlapping in two. The pharynx was repaired primarily in all patients and then reinforced with a pectoralis muscle flap in 13. Leakage around the TEP was observed in 4% of the cases. There were no instances of dislodging of the TEP, and six patients (23%) developed pharyngocutaneous fistula; 12% had a wound dehiscence but none were around the stoma. The average time to begin oral feeding was 5.7 days (standard deviation, 1.93), and the average hospital stay was 8.4 days (standard deviation, 1.93).

Conclusions

Primary TEP in the setting of a salvage laryngectomy is associated with a low rate of complications. A pharyngocutaneous fistula occurred in 23% of the cases. Placement of a TEP at time of salvage laryngectomy may not in itself predispose to the development of a pharyngocutaneous fistula. It is more likely it results from the effects of radiation, chemotherapy, or both on the pharyngeal tissues. However, the patient should be informed that a primary TEP might increase the risk of pharyngocutaneous fistula.

Dowthwaite et al, 2012

Title

Postlaryngectomy pharyngocutaneous fistula: determining the risk of preoperative tracheostomy and primary tracheoesophageal puncture.

Authors

Dowthwaite SA, Penhearow J, Szeto C, Nichols A, Franklin J, Fung K, Yoo J

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, Schulich School of Medicine & Dentistry, Western University, London, ON, Canada.

Journal and year of publication

J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2012 Jun 1;41(3):169-75.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

This article considers whether preoperative tracheostomy and primary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) contribute as independent risk factors to the development of pharyngocutaneous fistula (PCF), as well as discusses the significant factors related to the perioperative management of these patients.

Subjects and Methods

Retrospective data were collected on 145 patients treated with total laryngectomy/pharyngolaryngectomy between January 2003 and July 2010 at the Victoria Hospital in London, Ontario, including whether preoperative tracheostomy or primary TEP was performed.

Results

One in four (25%) patients developed a postoperative PCF. No increase in PCF rates was observed with either preoperative tracheostomy or primary TEP. Salvage surgery PCFs achieved lower rates of spontaneous fistula closure compared to those undergoing primary surgery ($p = .002$).

Conclusions

Neither preoperative tracheostomy nor primary TEP was associated with the development of PCF. Surgical closure of PCF is more likely to be required in the setting of salvage surgery.

Emerick et al, 2009

Title

Primary versus secondary tracheoesophageal puncture in salvage total laryngectomy following chemoradiation.

Authors

Emerick KS, Tomycz L, Bradford CR, Lyden TH, Chepeha DB, Wolf GT, Teknos TN.

Affiliation(s)

Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Journal and year of publication

Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009 Mar;140(3):386-90.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

To compare the rate of postoperative wound-healing complications and voice fluency in primary vs secondary tracheoesophageal puncture (TEP) following chemoradiation.

Subjects and Methods

Between 1998 and 2005, 30 patients underwent laryngectomy after chemoradiation therapy. Twenty patients underwent primary TEP and 10 patients underwent secondary TEP. In the primary TEP group the voice prosthesis was fitted 3-4 weeks after the procedure, in the secondary TEP group 1-2 weeks after the puncture. The decision to perform a primary or secondary procedure was exclusively decided by the operating surgeon. Comorbidities, postoperative complications, speech fluency, and time to speech fluency were evaluated in each patient.

Results

Pharyngocutaneous fistula (PCF) occurred in 10 of 20 (50%) patients who underwent primary TEP and in 0 of 10 (0%) patients in the secondary TEP group ($P < 0.05$). Overall, 25 of 25 (100%) patients who had placement of a tracheoesophageal prosthesis achieved fluent speech. Median time to fluency was 63 days in the primary TEP group and 125 days in the secondary TEP group. Even primary TEP patients who developed PCF still acquired fluency more quickly than secondary TEP patients (75 vs 125 days).

Conclusions

The authors concluded that primary TEP is associated with an increased risk of PCF compared to secondary TEP, in patients undergoing TL following concurrent chemoradiation therapy. No difference in acquisition of speech fluency was identified between the two groups. Patients undergoing primary TEP achieved fluent speech 62 days sooner than their secondary TEP counterparts. Both primary and secondary TEP should be considered safe options in the setting of salvage total laryngectomy following chemoradiation. Surgeons must weigh the potential increased risk for PCF in the primary setting against the significant delay in speech acquisition for secondary TEP.

Boscolo-Rizzo et al, 2008

Title

Multivariate analysis of risk factors for pharyngocutaneous fistula after total laryngectomy.

Authors

Boscolo-Rizzo P¹, De Cillis G, Marchiori C, Carpenè S, Da Mosto MC.

Affiliation(s)

¹ENT Department and Regional Center for Head and Neck Cancer, University of Padua, School of Medicine, Treviso Regional Hospital, Padua, Italy.

Journal and year of publication

Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2008 Aug;265(8):929-36.

Type of publication

Retrospective longitudinal study

Introduction

This investigation was conducted in order to better identify, particularly through multivariate analyses, the configuration of factors that most closely impact the development of pharyngocutaneous fistula (PCF). Incidence and treatment of PCF were also revisited.

Subjects and Methods

Patients who underwent total laryngectomy between 1989 and 2006 were identified by searching computer-based medical records in a tertiary academic referral center.

Results

A total of 218 patients were included. There were 47 patients (21.6%) developing PCF within 1 month after surgery (median 14 days, range 2-26 days). Non-surgical closure of the PCF was achieved in 36 patients (76.6%) within a median of 16.5 days (range 8-27 days). Eleven patients (23.4%) required a surgical closure of the PCF. In nine patients the surgical approach consisted in resuturing of the pharyngeal mucosa. Major surgery with the use of flaps (pectoralis major myocutaneous flap and free forearm flap) was required in two patients. Multivariate analysis revealed that diabetes mellitus (odd ratio 23.41 [95% CI 8.46-64.78]), preoperative hypoalbuminemia (odd ratio 9.42 [95% CI 3.60-24.61]), chronic pulmonary diseases (odd ratio 6.64 [95% CI 1.97-22.56]) and chronic hepatopathy (odd ratio 3.26 [95% CI 1.19-9.96]) were independent predictors for PCF formation.

Conclusions

Primary trachea-esophageal fistula (TEP) with voice prosthesis insertion and pharyngeal constrictor myotomy was not associated to an increased incidence of PCF in univariate analysis.

Preoperative hypoalbuminemia and comorbidities, especially diabetes mellitus, were found to be significant predictors of PCF developing. Optimizing of comorbidities and correction of nutritional deficiencies should be achieved as early as possible to reduce the risk of PCF and to avoid a delayed TL.

Parikh et al, 1998

Title

Pharyngocutaneous fistulae in laryngectomy patients: the Toronto Hospital experience.

Authors

Parikh SR, Irish JC, Curran AJ, Gullane PJ, Brown DH, Rotstein LE.

Affiliation(s)

University of Toronto, Department of Otolaryngology/Head and Neck Program, The Toronto Hospital, Ontario.

Journal and year of publication

J Otolaryngol. 1998 Jun;27(3):136-40.

Type of publication

Retrospective study

Introduction

The purpose of this study was to determine the rate of post-laryngectomy pharyngocutaneous fistulae and its association with age, gender, preoperative radiation, TNM staging, comorbidity factors, choice of ablation, choice of reconstruction, modality of postoperative feeding, and whether or not a primary tracheoesophageal puncture was performed.

Subjects and Methods

One hundred and twenty-five consecutive laryngectomy procedures performed between July 1, 1992, and October 1, 1996, were reviewed. Feeding route was through the primary TEP in 36, via nasogastric tube in 60, and via a pre-existing gastrostomy in 25.

Results

There was an overall fistula rate of 22%. No association found was between fistula rates and age, gender, patient comorbidity factors, TNM stage, choice of ablation, choice of reconstruction, modality of postoperative feeding, or whether a primary tracheoesophageal puncture was performed or not. Within the subset of patients that underwent laryngectomy, laryngopharyngectomy or laryngoesophagolaryngectomy, the fistula rate in the puncture site tube fed group was 31% (12/39) versus 23% (15/66) in the nasogastric tube fed group ($p=.65$). Concerning feeding route, non-significant differences were found between fistula rates for nasogastric tube feeding (18%), gastrostomy tube feeding (20%), or TEP site tube feeding (28%). Barium-swallow studies to predict fistula were found to have a false negative rate of 14%: of the 65 negative studies, 9 still developed a fistula.

Conclusions

Although others have demonstrated or hypothesized patient variables in influencing pharyngocutaneous fistula, this study showed no evidence of increased fistula rates by patient gender, age, preoperative irradiation, or presence of neck nodes. At this tertiary care head and neck oncology center, pharyngocutaneous fistulae remain an unpredictable and serious complication with an estimated economic cost of Cdn \$400,000 per year.